


PATIENTS SAVE WITH GENERIC DRUGS

\$13.6 Billion Per Year

Generics saved patients with allergies and asthma \$13.6 billion in 2020, and savings for the past 10 years total \$101.8 billion. According to the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, many of the 25 million Americans with asthma also have allergies. In extreme cases, both conditions can be fatal. Generic medicines ease the symptoms and allow patients to lead fuller lives.

Generics and Biosimilar Medicines Deliver More Savings Every Year

Because tens of millions of Americans entrust their health to generics and biosimilars, they saved \$338 billion in 2020, and nearly \$2.4 trillion in the last decade.



than half the cost of the brandname. I've been able to use the savings to go back to school."

- Raeanne, 33, New York City, asthma patient

Conditions That Often Accompany Allergy and Asthma


Many allergy and asthma patients also take generic medications for other conditions:

> 13% have chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), for which they saved

> > \$1.4B

20% have hypertension, for which they saved

\$810M









AAM 2021 U.S. Generic and Biosimilar Medicines Savings Report: Methodological Overview

This report estimates savings from generic drugs for the 10-year period between 2011 - 2020, as well as a single year estimate for 2020.

Base Savings Estimates: The base savings were calculated by IQVIA. We generated condition-level savings by assigning drugs to a list of common conditions, as well as a list of conditions provided by AAM and aggregating savings for all drug that are used to treat these conditions. Product condition assignments were conducted by a Doctor of Pharmacy. Importantly, many products treat multiple conditions. For purposes of this analysis, we ensured that the most common used of the product was the condition into which it was assigned.

Comorbidity Estimates: We used published epidemiological data to determine the 3 most common comorbidities for each of the index conditions provided by AAM. We calculated the base savings for the primary condition in the same manner as described above, and then assigned a weighted savings to each of the 3 selected comorbid conditions based on published prevalence data. Because the IQVIA data provided units rather than patients, we used units as a proxy for the number of patients treated and adjusted the units, and thus savings, in proportion to the published prevalence of each comorbid condition. Importantly, this methodology, due to the differences in units utilized by patients for specific conditions, could occasionally lead to estimates of comorbidity savings that exceed the total savings for that stand alone condition. In these cases, the savings were either capped, when the total numbers were relatively low relative to the main condition or, more commonly, the incidence rate for the comorbidity was applied again, to ensure a lower savings estimate. While this is a methodological choice and likely underestimates the savings from the comorbidity, it effectively assumes that the comorbidity requires more units per patient treated than the main condition.



