

FallTech Conference 2017

Drug Product Quality and the Impact of Extractables and Leachables

PRESENT

FUTURE

Diane Paskiet, MS Senior Director, Global Scientific Affairs West Pharmaceutical Services, Inc. November 8, 2017



- Leachables and Extractables (L&E) Expectations
- Leachable Impact to Drug/Biologic Product Quality and Patient Safety
- Implementing Risk Management Strategies
- L&E Guidance and Recommendations



Extractables and Leachables (L&E) Expectations

- Generics : QbR Deficiencies
 - Container closure attributes to ensure product quality
 - Studies to identify necessary attributes including identity, suitability (**safety**, protection, **compatibility**, and performance) consistent with the QTPP
 - Dosage form compatibility (e.g. **extractables**, **leachables**, dye from labeling)
 - Compatibility with the sterilization procedure
 - Validated Functional barrier to microbial ingress
 - Performance system (e.g. dropper consistency, calibration of delivery device

Robert Iser, Acting Division Director, Chemistry, FDA OGD

Continued need to focus on efficient and science-based decision-making Increased focus on product and process understanding

Linking Extractables to Leachables and Patient Safety



Leachables Qualification Factors





GMP Requirements Code of Federal Regulation(CFR)

• Finished Drug Product: Containers and Closures

Device containers should not be reactive, additive or absorptive as to alter the **safety**, **identity strength**, **quality or purity of the drug**... **21CFR 211.94** Laboratory Controls shall include scientifically sound and specifications, standards,

sampling plans, test procedures, re-sampling, retesting, and data interpretation ... **21 CFR 211.160**

• Biologics: Equipment, Containers and Closures

All surfaces that come in contact with products shall be clean and free of surface solids, leachable contaminants....... **21 CFR 600.11(b) (h)**



Regulatory Landscape

	Drugs 21CFR300	Biologics 21CFR600	Devices 21CFR800		
	Small Molecules (generally synthetic)	Large Molecules (living organisms)	Devices (technology)		
	Analytically well defined and stable	Analytically complex and unstable: vaccines, gene therapy, tissue, blood, cellular products	Engineered to meet specific inputs: catheters, prosthetics, in- vitro diagnostics		
		Regulatory Pathway			
	Component S	sterilization-Processing-Manufacturing			
	Container Closure/Delive	ery Systems (CCS) Storage and Shelf Life Stability			
	Combination Products				
E	Drug + Device; Drug + Biologic; Biologic + Device				
		21CFR 4			

FDA L&E Guidance Degree of Testing Drugs vs Devices

Degree of Concern	Likelihood of Packaging Component Dosage Form Interaction		mponent	Medical Device Categorization		
Associated with the			Natura of Rody Contact	Contact	rical Effoat	
Route of Administration	High	Medium	Low	Nature of Body Contact	Duration	

Safety is Linked to Patient Daily Exposure and Quality is Linked to Drug Product Attributes Degree of Testing Depends on Multiple Components of Final System (Primary/Secondary/Tertiary) Extractables Data Should Encompass System Performance and Compatibility Linked to Product Attributes Leachables Depends on Risk to Migration in (Drug/Biologic/Body Contact) In Use

	lingual aerosols Oral solutions	Oral powders	capsules	Abbreviated Inf	ormation	
Abbreviated Information						
Container Closure Systems for Packaging Human Drugs and Biologics CMC Documentation				10993-1, "Biolog Part 1: Eval	ical evaluation of uation and testing	medical devices g within a risk



management process

Defining the L&E Strategy

Inter-Center Collaborations

Device

820 Quality Systems Regulation*

- 820.20 (management)
- 820.30 (design)
- 820.50 (purchasing)
- 820.100 (CAPA)
- 820.170 (installation)
- 820.200 (servicing)

Called out sections*



Drugs

211 Finished Pharmaceuticals*

- 211.84 (incoming testing)
- 211.103 (calc of yield)
- 211.137 (esp. dating)
- 211.165 (release testing)
- 211.166 (stability testing)
- 211.167 (special testing)
- 211.170 (reserve samples)

A biosimilar product in a delivery device Combination Product may require a separate application for the device





Leachable Impact to Drug/Biologic Product Quality and Patient Safety

L&E Risk-Based Approaches Include Chemical & Biocompatibility

CDRH (ISO 10993-1) - Device

- Residuals or impurities
 - Alter the biological response
 - Change the device surface properties
 - Consider amount of chemical in device/device extracts (ug/device or ug/patient)
- Consider all biocompatibility relevant endpoints for duration & use CDER/CBER- Container Closure Systems
- L&E
 - Toxicant, irritant, sensitizer interaction products
 - Consider amount of chemical (ug/containment system)
 - Assess safety compared to a total daily intake
 - Consider impact to product quality and toxicity of leachables



L&E Challenges Drug vs Biologics

Small Molecules

Chemically Synthesized



21 atoms

- Structures established
- Fixed Manufacturing
- Large Batch size
- Singe Active Typical



Large Molecules Living Cell/Organisms

25,000 atoms

- Characterization
 - May not be not completely defined
 - Often RT unstable
- Complex Manufacturing
- Small batch size
- Potential more than a single active

The nature and complexity of biologic products are multifaceted Biologic quality depends on the level of biologic product characterization



Impurities Assessment ICH Guidelines

- Impurities Drug Products/Substances Applies To: (Q3A/B)
 - **Degradation** products or **reaction** products of the drug substance with immediate container closure system
- Impurities Biologics (Q6B)
 - Product-related substances: Molecular variants of the desired product formed during manufacture and/or storage
 - Occurs over time and/or by light, temperature, pH, water
 - Or <u>by reaction</u> with an excipient and/or **the immediate container/closure system**.

Drug product quality stability, purity, efficacy



Comparability Assessments: Components/Systems

Changes to multiple components of a container closure system should adequately address the potential effects of component interchangeability on product quality

Component Compliance

Product Quality and Safety Compatibility and Interactions **System Qualification** Protection

Function

Performance





Product is Process Understanding



Integration of Drug Product Development with L&E

Develop	oment	Technology Transfer	Commercial Manufacturing
Target Product Profile	(TPP) CCS/Device Selectio	n	Yes L/E Control
Admin Route Dosage Form Concentration Dosing//Frequency Formulation Shelf Life	Prior Knowledge Material Compliance System Compatibility Performance/Function Extractable Profiles Hazard Assessment Target Leachables	Leachable Verification Potential Leachables Method Dev/Validation Shelf-Life Study Confirm Leachables Safety Assessment CCS/Device Correlation Mitigation/Control	No Risk Review Change Management Lifecycle Management Continuous Improvement



Implementing Risk Management Strategies

- ICH 8: Pharmaceutical Development
- ICHQ9: Quality Risk Management
- ICH Q10 Quality Systems Management
- ICH Q12 Lifecycle Management



Image Quality Risk Management Background ICH Q9 EWG Training July 2006 www.ICH.org



ICH Q9 Applied to L&E Management



Image adapted from Quality Risk Management Background ICH Q9 EWG Training July 2006 www.ICH.org

Risk Communications: L&E Terminology

Extractable Profiles

Material Characterization

Extractables Material Understanding Potential Extractable Potential Leachable **Simulation Study**

Worst Case Accelerated Leaching Migration Probable Leachable Predicted Leachable Drug Product Leachable

Leachables

Leachate Product-related impurity Process-related impurity Migrant Contaminant



Risk Assessment

Potential Hazard Hazard ID Hazard Assessment Safety Assessment Toxicology Assessment **Toxic Dose**



L&E Severity

- Leachables Consequence
 - Patient Harm
 - Toxicity, immunogenicity
 - Loss of Efficacy
 - Product interaction, loss of activity; biologic modification
 - Poor Quality
 - Product stability, impurities
- Extractables Significance
 - Identification of Hazards
 - Toxic and/or nontoxic chemical entities
 - Material Understanding
 - Potential for migration and indication of performance properties
 - System Compatibility (Storage-Delivery)
 - Delineates functional properties



Extractable Hazard: Impact to Product Quality

Components/System Compatibility

- Packaging components will not interact to cause unacceptable changes in the quality of dosage form or the packaging component.
- Original application, a supplemental application, or as fulfillment of a commitment to conduct post-approval stability studies.
 - Loss of potency due to absorption or adsorption of the active drug substance
 - Degradation of the active drug substance induced by leaching
 - Reduction in the concentration of an excipient
 - Precipitation, changes in drug pH
 - Discoloration of either the dosage form or the packaging component
 - Interactions between a packaging component and dosage form can be detected during qualification studies on the container closure system or in the stability studies.



Extractable Hazard: Potential Impact to Bioequivalence

Extractable Forms the Basis of Suitability for Use

• Material Chemical Characterization/Understanding

- Delivery Performance Affecting Dose
- ID Critical Component/System Attributes
- Essential part of an assessing effects of the potential material changes

• Material Compatibility

- Drug Product Degradation; Interaction
- Loss of Potency; Stability
- Product/Excipients Surface Interaction (adsorbing/absorbing)

Extractable Simulation Studies

- Likely Migrants and Concentration
- Potential Toxicity and Reactive Species



Probability: Patient Harm

Change in Eprex formulation resulted in leachable that was a probable cause of immunogenicity



Katia Boven et.al. The increased incidence of pure red cell aplasia with an Eprex formulation in uncoated rubber stopper syringes; Kidney International, Vol. 67 (2005), pp. 2346–2353



Probability: Biologics Quality

- Visible particulates: elements leached from glass
 - Leached aluminum + sodium phosphate buffer
 - Leached barium + sodium sulfate buffer
- Drug product degradation: element leached from rubber
 - Leached aluminum catalyzed bisulfite reaction AL⁺³ + CHCH2NH2CH3
- Protein aggregation
 - Tungsten oxide leached anion from process to insert needle into glass barrel

 $WO_3 \rightarrow Na_2WO_4 \bullet 2H_2O$

I. Markovic Risk Management Strategies for Safety Qualification of Extractables and Leachable Substances in Therapeutic Biologic Protein Products, American Pharmaceutical Review, 2009 E. Milano et.al The Formation of an Aluminum-Epinephrine Complex and Its Effect on the Addition of Bisulfite to Epinephrine, PDA Journal Sci-tech vol. 37 no. 5 165169, 1983 J.S. Bee et.al Precipitation of monoclonal antibody by soluble tungsten Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 98((9), 3290-3301



Al- Epinephrine Complex

CHCH_NH_CH

Probability: Predicted Migration

- Polycarbonate Container
- Extractable Profiles IPA/Water; pH 2.5 and 9.5







Probability: Predicted Migration

Migration Label Adhesive Through A Semi-Permeable Container









Simulated Leaching (Migration) Study for a Model Container-Closure System Applicable to Parenteral and Ophthalmic Drug Products; PDA Journal of Science and Technology , 2017

Detectability: Leachables Challenges

- Leachables can be mask or suppressed
- Target potential leachables studies are necessary
- Migration kinetics (leaching) are generally slow
- Interaction of leachable with drug/biologic product can occur
- Accelerated and real-time leachable stability data is needed to confirm leachables*
- Identification of interaction products is relative to drug/biologic characterization
- Confirmed leachables should be correlated to extractable profiles to enable control

Extractable Profiles are needed to guide leachable risks





L&E Guidance and Recommendations

Evaluations	PQRI Recommendations & Demonstration	USP Methods + Guidance	ISO 10993 Methods	Ph.Eur Methods + Basic Guide
Specifications (Starting Point)	Risk Based Justifications No specs	Plastic Elastomers Glass	Plastics Elastomers Glass	Plastics Elastomers Glass
Extractable Assessments (Hazard ID)	Inhaled & Parenteral Products Characterize, Simulate, Control Correlation to CCS	<1663> PQRI Aligned	ISO 10993 Extraction Part 12-Exhaustive Part -18 Simulated	EMA Plastic Guideline Extractions
Leachable Assessments	Based on Extractable data and potential for Interaction	<1664> PQRI Aligned	ISO 10993 Part 17	EMA Plastic Guideline Migrate/Interact
Safety Assessments	Correlation to CCS and drug/biologic product Safety ID Thresholds Strategies based on risk to patient	Plastic & Elastomers Endpoints Cytotoxicity Irritation Sensitization Implantation Systemic Tox Subchronic Tox	ISO 10993 Med Devices USP End Points + Genotoxicity Hemocompatibility Carcinogenicity Reproductive/Dev Developmental Tox	EMA Plastic Guideline Tox Documentation



Extractable and Safety Tests Vary by Extraction/Conditions

USP Relevant L&E Chapters



Chapters > 1000 Informational; Chapters< 1000 Specifications USP Testing is a Starting Point to Qualify for Use



PQRI Finding Leachables: The Forest Through the Trees





8 SEPTEMBER 2006

SAFETY THRESHOLDS AND BEST PRACTICES FOR EXTRACTABLES AND LEACHABLES IN ORALLY INHALED AND NASAL DRUG PRODUCTS

> Submitted to the PQRI Drug Product Technical Committee, PQRI Steering Committee, and U.S. Food and Drug Administration by the PQRI Leachables and Extractables Working Group

Daniel Norwood (IPAC-RS), Chair Douglas Ball (IPAC-RS) James Blanchard (IPAC-RS) Lidiette Celado (AAPS) T.J. Deng (Lab) Fran DeGrazio (PDA) Bill Doub (FDA) Thomas Feinberg (AAPS) Alan Hendricker (Lab) Jeff Hrkach (AAPS) Roger McClellan (University of New Mexico) Timothy McGovern (FDA) Diane Paskiet (PDA) David Porter (USP) Michael Ruberto (Lab) Alan Schroeder (FDA) Mark Vogel (PhRMA) Qingxi Wang (PhRMA) Ronald Wolff (IPAC-RS) Melinda Munos (IPAC-RS) Lee Nagao (IPAC-RS)

- Safety Concern Threshold (SCT)
 - Low Risk Leachables Not Identified

• <0.15 µg/day

- Qualification Threshold (QT)
 - Assessment of Identified Leachable
 - Non-carcinogenic >5 µg/day
- Best Practices for E&L studies
 - Controlled Extraction Studies (CES)
 - Analytical Evaluation Threshold (AET)
 - Identification threshold

<u>Note:</u>

- Designed to reduce level of uncertainty within the pharmaceutical development
- Not meant to be proscriptive

Application to Parenteral Drug Products to be Released Soon



PQRI Risked-Based Approaches for L&E Testing

Experimental	Key Characteristics	Best Demonstrated Practices	
Material Characterization (<u>Tentative</u> Leachables)	 Screening of packaging candidates Establish composition of extractable materials Broad Based/Screening extraction and testing protocols Semi-quantitative character Toxicological Alerts 	Analytical Techniques: Multiple and Orthogonal Quantitative Compound Specific Sensitive	
Simulation Study (<u>Probable</u> Leachables)	 ulation Study Establish worst case accumulation of leachables Conditions to mimic worst case Exposure(accelerated Justified simulating solvents Assessment of all extractables above the AET Identify Leachable Targets 		
Migration Study (Confirmed Leachables)	 Establish the actual accumulation of target leachables Drug product under actual conditions of use Toxicological assessment of all targeted leachables Outcome: Negligible or unacceptable safety risk 	Detection of: Organic Volatile Semi volatile Non volatile	

Inorganic

aam

Conference 20



PQRI Parental Drug Products Recommendations



Best Practices

Characterization	Simulation	Leachables
Material Chemistry	Mimic Actual Use	Actual Drug Product

LVP, SVP, PFS Applications Considerations Given Ophthalmics Biologics





Assessing L&E Risk: PQRI and USP Alignment





L&E Uncertainty-Residual Risk

• Materials Understanding

Components – lot variability Systems – final process & product

• Measurements

Extractions

Analytical Techniques

• Migration Kinetics

Exposure

Conditions/Duration



Packaging Systems: Risk Analysis



Component selection should be based on sound and justifiable scientific principals and studies designed to address risk

- 1. To understand extractables for individual component and potential to migrate
- 2. To link chemistry with drug/biologic quality and performance/function of packaging
- 3. To assess safety and compatibility of components and systems
- 4. To understand impact of manufacturing, storage and shipping of the drug product
- 5. To correlate to clinical use and patient safety

Understand risk to safety associated with the packaging system with drug product *Dose * Duration * Patient Population * Other Unique Product Attributes



Thank You!



Booth #3





West and the diamond logo is a registered trademark of West Pharmaceutical Services, Inc. in the U.S. and other jurisdictions.